

Tetrahedron Letters 41 (2000) 4409-4413

TETRAHEDRON LETTERS

Bioluminescence activity of Latia luciferin analogs

Satoshi Kojima,^a Shojiro Maki,^a Takashi Hirano,^a Yoshihiro Ohmiya^b and Haruki Niwa^{a,*}

a Department of Applied Physics and Chemistry, University of Electro-communications, Chofu, Tokyo 182-8585, Japan ^bDepartment of Biochemistry, Faculty of Education, Shizuoka University, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan

Received 6 March 2000; accepted 14 April 2000

Abstract

Latia luciferin analogs were synthesized and their bioluminescence activities were measured. The Latia luciferase was found to recognize strictly the 2,6,6-trimethylcyclohexene ring moiety in the luciferin structure. While the enol ether analogs exhibited no bioluminescence activity, the corresponding enol acetate analog possessed 60% activity compared to natural luciferin having an enol formate structure, implying that the initial step of the light producing reaction is an enzymatic hydrolysis to yield the corresponding enolate anion. \odot 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Latia neritoides; luciferin; luciferase; bioluminescence; enol formate; enol acetate.

The limpet-like snail Latia neritoides is only found in clear and shallow streams of North Island in New Zealand, and is the only luminous animal which lives in fresh water. On mechanical stimulation, the snails secrete mucus, from which greenish light (536 nm) is emitted.¹ The Latia bioluminescence system exhibits a typical luciferin-luciferase reaction.^{2,3} Shimomura established the structure of Latia luciferin (1) having a characteristic, enol formate functionality, and proposed the Latia bioluminescence reaction as shown in Scheme $1.^{2-4}$ Thus, the Latia bioluminescence requires four components: the luciferin (1), the luciferase (178 000 Da), a cofactor so-called 'purple protein (38 000 Da)' (red-fluorescent protein), and molecular oxygen. During the bioluminescence reaction the Latia luciferin (1) was oxidized into Latia oxyluciferin (2) , CO₂, and formic acid with an emitting the green light. Shimomura suggested that light emission should arise from a flavin compound covalently bound to the luciferase because none of 1 , 2 and 'purple protein' have green fluorescent properties.³ However, the precise bio-oxidation mechanism of 1 and the detailed molecular bases for the light-emitting process are still unclear. In this paper, we wish to report the bioluminescence activity of the Latia luciferin analogs and the substrate specificity of Latia luciferase.

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax $+81-424-86-1966$; e-mail: niwa@pc.uec.ac.jp

Scheme 1. Bioluminescence reaction of Latia neritoides

Scheme 2 shows the preparation of Latia luciferin (1) and the analogs $6-9$, 12 and 13 studied.⁸ Latia luciferin (1) was prepared by the slightly modified procedure reported by Kishi.⁵ Thus, selective hydrogenation of β -ionone (3) with (PPh₃)₃RhCl⁶ followed by Horner–Emmons ole fination of the resulting ketone 2 (Latia oxy-luciferin) afforded α , β -unsaturated esters E-4 (overall 76%) and Z-4 (overall 9%) after separation. Reduction of $E-4$ with DIBAH followed by the oxidation of the resulting allylic alcohol with $MnO₂$ gave the desired α , β -unsaturated aldehyde E-6 (overall 95%). Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of $E=6$ was achieved by using 60% hydrogen peroxide in *t*-amylalcohol in the presence of selenium dioxide to give *Latia* luciferin (1) (45%) . The corresponding geometrical isomer Z-1 was prepared via Z-4 by a similar procedure (overall 24%).

Scheme 2. Preparation of Latia luciferin (1) and its analogs. Reagents and conditions: (a) (PPh₃)₃RhCl, H₂, benzene, rt, 14 h, 100%; (b) $(EtO)_2P(O)CH_2CO_2Et$, LiHMDS, THF, reflux, 5 h, 76% for E-4, 9% for Z-4 after separation; (c) DIBAH, toluene, 0° C, 100% for E-5, 100% for Z-5; (d) MnO₂, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 2 h, 95% for E-6, 95% for Z-6; (e) SeO₂, 60% H₂O₂, *t*-amylalcohol, 0°C, 45% for 1, 25% for Z-1; (f) MeOCH₂P⁺(Ph₃)Cl⁻, LiHMDS, THF, 0°C, 4 h, 95% $(E:Z=1:1)$; (g) p-TsOH, 3:1 acetone:H₂O, reflux, 4 h, 100%; (h) p-TsOH, isopropenylacetate, reflux, 12 h, 42% for E-9, 21% for Z-9

Analogs 7, 8 and 9 were synthesized from ketone 2 (Scheme 2). Wittig reaction of 2 with methoxymethylidenetriphenylphosphorane gave enol ether 7 as a 1:1 separable mixture of E:Z-isomers, which, upon acidic hydrolysis, afforded the corresponding aldehyde 8 (100%). Treatment of 8 with isopropenyl acetate-p-toluenesulfonic acid⁷ gave the desired enol acetates $E-9$ (45%) and Z-9 (21%). By using quite similar sequences, analogs 11 (a racemic, 1:1 mixture of *cis* and trans ring-isomers) and 13 were prepared starting with 10 (a racemic, 1:1 mixture of cis and trans ring-isomers) obtained by hydrogenation of 3 and 12, respectively (Scheme 3).

A crude Latia luciferase solution used for the bioluminescence measurement was prepared as follows: Specimens of frozen Latia were homogenized in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.2) at 0° C and the homogenized mixture was centrifuged at 7000 rpm for 20 min at 4° C. The supernatant was subjected to fractional ammonium sulfate precipitation. The active precipitates obtained by 33-60% saturation were collected, resuspended in a 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.2), and subjected to gel filtration using Superdex 200 with the same buffer, yielding a crude luciferase solution containing 'purple protein'. The protein concentration of the luciferase solution was determined by Protein Assay Kit[®] (Bio Rad) to be 0.14 mg/ml.

The bioluminescent activity was measured by mixing 100 μ of the luciferase solution with 300 μ of Latia luciferin (1) or the analog solution in water (an 8×10^{-3} M EtOH solution was diluted with deionized H₂O to the final concentration of 8×10^{-6} M). The photons generated were counted by a luminometer Lumi Counter 1000 (Niti-on) for 10 min in each measurement. The results are summarized in Table 1. The cyclohexane analog 11 and the phenyl analog 13 had essentially no bioluminescence activity. These results suggest that the 2,6,6-trimethylcyclohexene ring moiety may be recognized strictly by the luciferase, and essential for binding to the active site.

The Z-1 regioisomer of Latia luciferin (1) had 60% activity of 1, as reported by Kishi.⁵ This result indicates that the recognition site of the enol ester moiety in the luciferase has a certain flexibility.

 α, β -Unsaturated aldehyde E-6 is supposed to be a biosynthetic precursor of Latia luciferin (1) because a certain flavin-containing oxygenase is known to catalyze Baeyer-Villiger-type oxidation of ketones and aldehydes.⁹ However, both E -6 and Z -6 had no bioluminescence activity, indicating that *Latia* luciferase has no such activity. We expected to find that if the bioluminescence reaction starts with an electron transfer reaction from the substrate to a flavin component such as flavin (hydro)peroxide, enol methyl ether analogs E-7 and Z-7, having a more electron donating double bond, may act as better substrates. However, both analogs were not active substrates. As mentioned by Shimomura, aldehyde 8, the hydrolyzed product of 1 also has no bioluminescence activity.

Substrate	Relative Activity (%)
1	100
$Z-1$	60
11	1
13	0
E-6	0
$Z-6$	0
E-7	0
$Z - 7$	0
8	0
E-9	66
$Z-9$	44

Table 1 Relative bioluminescence activity of Latia luciferin analogs

Surprisingly, enol acetate $E=9$ showed 66% activity compared to that of natural Latia luciferin (1) having the enol formate functionality. Furthermore, the regioisomer Z-9 also had 44% activity compared to 1. These results indicate that the formyl (-CHO) group is not essential functionality. Thus, the *Latia* bioluminescence system is completely different from that of bacteria. In addition, the Latia luciferase has an esterase activity and generation of the enolate anion 14 from the enol esters in the luciferase environment, but not the enol 15, may be an important step for the bioluminescence reaction. Further study using substrate analogs is now in progress.

In summary, *Latia* luciferase was found to recognize the 2,6,6-trimethylcyclohexene moiety strictly. The enol acetate functionality is also susceptible to the bioluminescence reaction.

Acknowledgements

S.K. thanks the JSPS (Japan Society for the Promotion of Science) Research Fellowships for Young Scientists. Financial support from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture (Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research Nos. 09041100 and 1068502), the Naito Foundation, and the Shorai Foundation is gratefully acknowledged. We are deeply indebted to Dr. Mike R. Scarsbrook (National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research Ltd., Hamilton, New Zealand) for his great assistance in collecting biological specimens.

References

- 1. Johnson, F. H.; Haneda, Y. Bioluminescence in Progress; Princeton University Press: NJ, 1966.
- 2. Shimomura, O.; Johnson, F. H. Biochemistry 1968, 7, 1734-1738; 2574-2580.
- 3. Shimomura, O.; Johnson, F. H.; Kohama, Y. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 1972, 69, 2086-2089.
- 4. Fracheboud, M. G.; Shimomura, O.; Hill, R. K.; Johnson, F. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 3951-3954.
- 5. Nakatsubo, F.; Kishi, Y.; Goto, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 381-384.
- 6. Sims, J. J.; Honwad, V. K.; Selman, L. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 87-89.
- 7. Halsall, T. G.; Theobald, D. W.; Walshaw, K. B. J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 1029-1037.
- 8. Satisfactory IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and high resolution MS spectral data were obtained for all new compounds.
- 9. Branchaud, B. P.; Walsh, C. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 2143-2160.